

Proforma for submission of information by State Private Universities for ascertaining their norms and standards

A. Legal Status

1.1	Name and Address of the University	HIMALAYAN UNIVERSITY Jollang village, Near Central Jail, Itanagar-Arunachal Pradesh-791111		
1.2	Headquarters of the University	Himalayan University, Jollang village, Near Central Jail, Itanagar- Arunachal Pradesh- 791111		
1.3	Information about University a. Website b. E-mail c. Phone Nos. d. Fax Nos.	www.himalayanuniversity.com registrar@himalayanuniversity.com 0360-2203618		
	a. Ph. (including mobile), Fax Nos. and e-mail of Chancellor	Mr. P. Subba Rao, (9177444697) chancellor@himalayanuniversity.com		
	b. Ph. (including mobile), Fax Nos. and e- mail of Vice-chancellor	Prof. (Dr.) Prakash Divakaran (9545553130) vice-chancellor@himalayanuniversity.com Shri Vijay Tripathi (9327788118) registrar@himalayanuniversity.com		
	c. Ph. (including mobile), Fax Nos. and e- mail of Registrar			
	d. Ph. (including mobile), Fax Nos. and e- mail of Finance Officer	Mr. Sushil Sharma (CA) (9540158838) cfo@himalayanuniversity.com		
1.4	Date of Establishment	08 May 2013		
1.5	Name of the Society/Trust promoting the	Jeen Foundation (Registered Under U/s 25 of the Companies Act, 1956) Annexure – 1 Copy of following attached – 1.1 Certificate of Incorporation		
	University (Information may be provided in the following format) (Copy of the registered MoA/Trust Deed to be	1.2 PAN 1.3 License of Under Section 25 1.4 Memorandum of Association		
1.0	enclosed)	1.5 Articles of Association		
1.6	Composition of the Society/Trust Name Address Occupa tion In the Society/Trust Trust	an UNIVERSITY		

Appendix -	lix-I)	in Appen o	be provided	(Details to		
Appendix l	Whether the members of the Society/ Trust are members in other Societies/ Trusts or in the Board of Governors in companies? If yes, please provide details in the following format: Name of Address Name of Designa					
	Designa tion In the Society/ Trust	Name of the Society/ Trust	Address	Name of the member		
	lix-II)	in Append	be provided	(Details to l		
	1.8 Whether the promoting Society/Trust is involved in promoting/ running any other University/ Educational Institution? If yes, please give details in the following format: -					
NO		Name of the University Activities /Educational Institution				
Appendix-II	be provided in Appendix-III)			(Details to be p		
	ety/ trust is activities other give details in	/running a	promoting tional? In y	involved in	1.9	
NOT ANY	tivities	ation Ac	the Organiz			
Appendix-I	lix-IV)	in Append	be provided	(Details to l		
A Copy of the Himalayan University, Itanaga Act, 2012 (Act No. 06 of 2013) and Notificatio dated 8th May, 2013 and its amendmen Notification dated 26 th April 2022 attached.	1.10 Act and Notification under which established (copy of the Act & Notification to be enclosed) Enclosed - Yes		1.10			
Annexure -						
2.1. Himalayan University Act 2012 (Act 6 of 2013) 2.2. Amendment Act 2022 (Act 8 of 2022)						
Yes	established by	has been		Whether th	1.11	

B. Organization Description

2.1	Whether Unitary in nature (as per the UGC	Yes, the University is Unitary
	Regulation)	
2.2	Territorial Jurisdiction of the University as per the Act	The University is following and adhering to the territorial jurisdiction within the State of Arunachal Pradesh as per the policy of UGC
2.3	Details of the constituent units of the University, if	NO NO

	any, as mentioned in the Act	
2.4	Whether any off-campus centre(s) established? If yes, please give details of the approval granted by the State Government and UGC in the following format: - a. Place of the off-campus b. Letter No. & date of the approval of the State Government c. Letter No. & date of the approval of UGC (Details to be provided in Appendix-V) (Please attach an attested copy of the approval)	NOT ANY Appendix-V
9	Whether any off-shore campus established? If yes, please give details of the approval granted by the Government of India and host country in the following format: - a. Place of the off-shore campus b. Letter No. & date of the approval of Host Country c. Letter No. & date of the approval of Government of India (Details to be provided in Appendix-VI) (Please attach attested copy of the approval)	NOT ANY Appendix-VI
	Does the University offer a distance education programme? If yes, whether the courses run under distance mode are approved by the competent authority? (Please enclose attested copy of the course-wise approval of competent authority)	During the Academic Session January - 2020 only and it was approved by the Distance Education Bureau by UGC for the Academic Session January 2020 vide F. No. 1-11/2019 (DEB-I) dated 30 December 2019. The copy of the approval letter is attached.
		Annexure -3
	Whether the University has established study centre(s)? If yes, please provide details and whether these study centres are approved by the competent authority of the University and UGC?	No, the University is not running any study center. (Only the University main Campus)
	(Details to be provided in Appendix-VII)	NOT ANY
	(Please enclose attested copy of the approval from the competent authority)	
		Appendix-VII

* C. Academic Activities Description

3. Academic Program

3.1	Details of the programmes permitted to be offered by Gazette Notification of State Government and reference. (Details to be provided in Appendix-VIII)	The schedule II of the University Act specifies the disciplines in which the University may engage in teaching, research, and the conduct of various degree and diploma programmes across its constituent faculty and departments. With the approval of its statutory bodies, the University has also introduced the following undergraduate, postgraduate, diploma, certificate, and research programmes. The details of these programmes, offered up to the academic session 2024–25, are as follows:
		Programme Sanctioned Actual Enrolment

Page **3** of **21**

				UG	1250	912
				PG	765	524
				Diploma	90	86
				PG Diploma	NA	NA
				Certificate Course	NA	NA
				M. Phil	NA	NA
				Ph.D.	32	21
				Any other (Pl. Specify)		*
				Copy of Gazett State Governn		Appendix-VII n issues by the ed as - Annexure - 4
3.2		ber of acader ed by the Univ	nic programmes/ ersity	courses offered	by the Univ ne academic se	programmes and ersity, up to the ession 2024–25, i
	(Details to be	provided in A	ppendix-IX)	Programme	Sanctioned	Actual
	1				Intake	Enrolment
				UG	1250	912
				PG	765	524
				Diploma	90	86
				PG Diploma Certificate	NA NA	NA NA
				Course	NA	NA
				M. Phil	NA	NA
				Ph.D.	32	21
	- 1_ 4			Any other (Pl. Specify)		
						Appendix-IX
3.3	council(s) suc MCI, NCTE, PC a. Start b. To in If yes please	ch as AICTC, B CI, etc. have be new courses crease intake enclose copy wise details	elevant statutory CI, DEC, DCI, INC, en taken to: of approval and in the following	Pharm) Law Education LD & I	(B.ALLB, LLB D) and B.Sc. (Nu oval of the co	macy (D. Pharm, E B) B.Ed. (Special Bursing) programme Burcerned statutor
	the course	Statutory council	approval taken	Copy of approval	s attached.	Appendix- 2
	(D	10111111111111111111111111111111111111	nnandiv V)			Annexure - 5
	(Details to be	provided in A	ppendix- A)			
3.4	If the Univer	sity is runnii le, please prov	ng courses under vide details about n the following	per the approval (DEB) of the Uni	the Session Jan I of the Distance iversity Grants ((DEB-I) dated 3	stance Education luary 2020 Only, a e Education Burean Commission, vide F 50 December 2019

	the study centre	offered	students enrolled	
	(Details to be p	provided in A	ppendix- VII)	Appendix- VII
3.5	Temporal pla University Semester syste		nic work in the exstem	e Both
3.6	course which 22 of the UGC details in the for a. Name b. Since of c. Wheth	is not specific Act, 1956? I collowing form of the course when started ner the Universion from	rsity has applied UGC?	courses/programs that are not specified under Section 22 of the UGC Act, 1956.
	(Details to be p			Appendix-XI

4. Student Enrolment and Student Support

4.1 Number of students enrolled in the University for the current academic year according to regions and countries (Please give separate information for the main campus and off-campus/ off-shore campus)

		No. of students from	No. of students		No. of overs		
Particulars		the same State where the University is located	from other States	No. of NRI Students	Foreign Students	Person of Indian Origin students	Grand Total
UG	M	673	02	00	00	00	675
	F	237	00	00	00	00	237
	T	910	02	00	00	00	912
PG	M	368	00	00	00	00	368
	F	156	00	00	00	00	156
	T	524	00	00	00	00	524
M. Phil	M	00	00	00	00	00	0
	F	00	00	00	00	00	0
	T	00	00	00	00	00	0
Ph. D.	M	14	01	00	00	00	15
	F	6	00	00	00	00	06
	T	20	01	00	00	00	21
Diploma	M	41	30	00	00	00	71
	F	09	06	00	00	00	15
	T	50	36	00	00	00	86
PG Diploma	M	00	00	00	00	00	00
	F	00	00	00	00	00	00
	Т	00	00	00	00	00	00
Certificate	M	00	00	00	00	00	00
	F	00	00	00	00	00	00
	T	00	00	00	00	00	00
Any Other		00	00	00	00	00	00
(Pl. Specify)		00	00	00	00	00	00
		00	00	00	90	00	00

M-Male, F-Female, T-Total

Page 5 of 21

	Category	Female	Male	Total
	SC	03	11	14
	ST	395	1080	1475
Category-wise No. of students	OBC	02	05	07
	General	14	33	47
	PH	0	2	2
	Total	414	1129	1543

4.3 Details of the two batches of Students admitted

		Batch-1			Batch-2	
	Year	of Entry- 20	20-21	Year of Entry- 2021-22		
	UG	PG	Total	UG	PG	Total
No. admitted to the programme	944	478	1422	775	660	1435
No. of Drop-Outs a. Within four months of joining b. Afterwards	0 86	0 53	0 139	0 71	0	0 209
No. appeared for the final year examination	858	425	1283	704	522	1226
No. passed in the final exam	605	352	957	402	378	780
No. passed in first class	219	216	435	171	193	364

4.4	Does the University provide bridge/remedial courses to the educationally disadvantaged students? If yes, please give details.	Yes- (Three weeks remedial Classes for Disadvantaged Students)
4.5	Does the University provide any financial help to the students from socially disadvantageous group? If yes, please give details	Yes, the University provides scholarships in the form of fee concessions to meritorious students, sportspersons, economically disadvantaged students, and the wards of its employees, freedom fighters, and defence personnel.
4.6	T	Annexure -6
	In case the University is running M. Phil /Ph.D. programme, whether it is full time or part time and whether these programmes are run as per UGC Regulations, 2009 on M. Phil/Ph.D.	The University offers a full-time /Part Time Ph.D. programme by the provisions of the UGC Minimum Standards and Procedure for Award of M.Phil./Ph.D regulation 2009 and 2016. In alignment with the latest updates, the University also adheres to the UGC (Minimum Standards and Procedure for Award of Ph.D. Degree) Regulations, 2022, including the First Amendment notified in 2024.
4.7	Whether the University have a website? If yes please give website address and whether the website is regularly updated?	Yes www.himalayanuniversity.com The website is regularly updated
4.8	How are the prospective students informed about the criteria for admission, rules & regulations, facilities available, etc?	The University communicates detailed information to prospective students regarding admission criteria, rules and regulations, available facilities,

					and other essential aspects through its official website, prospectus, newspaper advertisements, and other print and electronic media. In addition, personal counselling sessions are provided, as required, to guide students in making informed decisions about their academic pursuits.
4.9	Whether any gi in the Universit complaints rec University in th	ty? If yes, plea eived against	ase provide d malpractices	Yes, the University has instituted a Student Grievance Redressal Mechanism under the provisions of the UGC (Redressal of Grievances of Students) Regulations, 2019.	
	Name of the complainant	Complaint against	Date of complaint	Action taken by the University	Notable, we haven't received any complaints till date.
	(Details to be p	rovided in A	ppendix- XII)		Appendix- XII Copy of University Grievance Redressal Committee for the Academic Session 2025 is attached. Annexure - 7

5. Curriculum, Teaching Learning Process/ Method, Examinations/Evaluation System

5.3	Which University body finalized the curriculum?	The curriculum for each programme is
3	The composition of the body may be given. (Board	initially drafted by the faculty members of
	of Studies, Academic Council, Board of	the respective departments and subsequently
	Management)	reviewed and finalised by the Board of
	ramagement	Studies (BoS). The BoS is mainly responsible
		for designing and the development of
		curriculum. It is then submitted to the
		Academic Council for the approval. Upon
		receiving approval, the curriculum is
		forwarded to the Board of Management .
		The composition of these statutory
		academic bodies is outlined below:
5		A. Composition of the Board of Studies (for
		each programme)
		i. Head of the Department -
		Chairperson
		ii. One Professor nominated by the
		Vice-Chancellor, by rotation, in order
1		of seniority. iii. One Teacher of the Department
		iii. One Teacher of the Department, not below the rank of Associate
		Professor, nominated by the Vice-
		Chancellor.
		iv. Two External Experts not in the
		service of the University, co-opted by
		the Board of Studies on the
		recommendation of the Head of the
		Department.
		TAND ON THE STATE OF THE STATE
		The state of the s

B. Composition of the Academic Council Vice-Chancellor - Chairperson ii. **Pro Vice-Chancellor** iii. Dean of the concerned Faculty Head of the concerned Department Librarian v. vi. Two Professors, other than Heads of Departments, nominated by the Vice-Chancellor. vii. Two Teachers of the University, at least one of whom shall be at the Associate Professor level, nominated by the Vice-Chancellor. viii. Not less than five External Members, co-opted by the Academic Council for their specialised knowledge, on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor. ix. Registrar - Member Secretary C. Composition of the Board of Management 1. Chancellor - Chairperson 2. Vice-Chancellor 3. Five Members nominated by the Sponsoring Body, of whom at least two shall be eminent educationists. 4. One **Expert** in Management, Information Technology, Law, or another learned profession from outside the University, nominated by the Chancellor. 5. One Expert in Finance, nominated by the Chancellor. 6. Commissioner Director, Education, or a nominee not below the rank of Deputy Secretary. Two Teachers (HOD, Director of a Division, or Professor of a subject) nominated by the Vice-Chancellor. Registrar - Member Secretary 5.2 What are the Rules/regulations / procedure for The curriculum is reviewed annually by the revision of the curriculum and when was the faculty of each department, considering the curriculum last updated? latest developments in their respective fields. As a standard practice, a comprehensive review is conducted every three years, incorporating feedback from students, faculty members, and external education experts. This systematic approach has proven effective in refining and enhancing the curriculum to ensure its continued relevance and quality. Based on the inputs received, the proposed revisions are first evaluated by the Board of

Page 8 of 21

		Studies and then forwarded to the Academic Council for approval. The most recent curriculum revision at was undertaken in the academic year 2023–24.
5.3	Whether approval of statutory bodies such as Board of Studies, Academic Council and Board of Management of the University has been taken to start various courses? If yes, please enclose extracts of the minutes.	Yes Approval from the statutory bodies has been obtained to initiate the courses. Copy attached.
5.4	Furnish details of the following aspects of curriculum design: Innovation such as modular curricula Inter/multidisciplinary approach.	Annexure – 8 All programmes are designed to meet current employment needs in Arunachal Pradesh and across India, and are regularly reviewed to stay relevant. Program Educational Objectives (PEOs), Program Specific Objectives (PSOs), and Program Outcomes (POs) guide curriculum design, ensuring courses enable students to achieve the intended outcomes.
		Before curriculum development, Course Outcomes (COs) are defined and mapped to POs, with syllabi prepared in consultation with faculty, academic and Industry experts. Equal emphasis is placed on classroom instruction and experiential learning.
5.5	Has the University conducted an academic audit? If yes, please give details regarding frequency and its usage.	Yes, the university conducts academic audits regularly. The recent academic audit was conducted for the Academic session 2024-25; to ensure the high standard quality education.
5.6	Apart from classroom instruction, what are the other avenues of learning provided for the students? (Example: Projects, Internships, Field trainings, Seminars, etc.)	The provisions of Projects, field trips, seminars, guest lectures, expert lectures, quizzes, computer-assisted learning, and PowerPoint presentations are regularly incorporated into classroom teaching. Additionally, visits to local industries are organised, and the students of Management, Pharmacy, Nursing, and Law are also provided with internship opportunities to gain practical exposure.
5.7	Please provide details of the examination system (Whether examination based or practical based)	Both For the theoretical courses, the evaluation system at comprise two components: Continuous Assessment (CA) and Term-End Examination (TEE).
		 The Continuous Assessment component includes internal evaluation based on performance in tutorials, group discussions, assignments, and projects and attendance.

Page 9 of 21

continuous assessment component focuses on evaluating the work carried out in the laboratory and the quality of reporting, while the TEE component is based on the performance of the experiment and the viva voce. The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CA. Annexure -9 What methods of evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, including term-end examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods.			• In lab-based courses, the
focuses on evaluating the work carried out in the laboratory and the quality of reporting, while the TEE component is based on the performance of the experiment and the viva voce. The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CA. Annexure -9 The evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, including term-end examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation for projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Annexure -10 The evaluation of ranswer scripts for internal experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations with evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Blooms Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical adpabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equ			
s.8 What methods of evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? 5.8 Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? 5.9 Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? 5.10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? 5.11 How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? 5.12 How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? 5.13 How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? 5.14 How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? 5.15 The evaluation of answer scripts for internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Blooms; Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide and paper are divided into three devaluation of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide and indicate that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each cuestion is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically c			
duality of reporting, while the TEE component is based on the performance of the experiment and the viva voce. The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CA. Annexure -9 The evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 The evaluation of answer scripts for internal experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Annexure -10 The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, iccurated out ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Annexure -10 The valuation of possession of a student's performance in each course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course marks, credits, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% covera			
component is based on the performance of the experiment and the viva voce. The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CA. Annexure -9 The evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? The evaluation of answer scripts for the evaluation of answer scripts for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 The evaluation of answer scripts for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three warminations or term-end examinations on revised Bloomys Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective: The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subject and understanding conceptual and subject and understanding conceptual and subject and subject to the subject of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal exa			
performance of the experiment and the viva voce. The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CA. Annexure -9 The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, including term-end examination, including term-end examinations, including term-end examinations, including term-end examination, including term-end examination examinations, including term-end examination, including term-end examinations, including term-end examinations, including term-end examinations, including term-end examination, including term-end examinations, including term-end examinations, including term-end examination, including term-end examinations, including term-end examinations, including term-end exami			
The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CE. Annexure -9 The evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, including term-end examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-occordinations, sources of multiple-choice questions, short-occordinations, sources of the course curriculum, with equation carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations, typically consist of multiple-choice questions, sh			component is based on the
The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CE. Annexure -9 The evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? The evaluation of answer scripts for internal examinations, including term-end examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-occordinations, sources of multiple-choice questions, short-occordinations, sources of the course curriculum, with equation carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations, typically consist of multiple-choice questions, sh		· · . =	performance of the experiment and
Must methods of evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Blooms; Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course continuous that the term-end examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			the viva voce.
Must methods of evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Blooms; Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course continuous that the term-end examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
What methods of evaluation of answer scripts does the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with the last 3 years and how they are dealt with evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carriers 30 marks, while the term-end examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			The weightage assigned to the two components is 70% for TEE and 30% for CA .
the University follow? Whether external experts are invited for evaluation? should be a provided for evaluation and internal examinations, including term-end examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with the malpractices that the university have a continuous internal evaluation system? Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, via-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examinations typically consist of multiplic-choic questions, short-order of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiplic-choic questions, short-order of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiplic-choic questions, short-order of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiplic-choic questions, short-order of multiplic choic q	li esercia di		Annexure -9
are invited for evaluation? examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course any involve home and tutorial assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-	5.8		The evaluation of answer scripts for
examinations, is carried out by the respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Source the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Wes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Blooms, Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-		the University follow? Whether external experts	internal examinations, including term-end
respective course in charge. However, for the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination strpically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-		are invited for evaluation?	
the evaluation of projects, dissertations, and similar academic work, external experts are also involved to ensure objectivity and academic rigor. Encouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomy, Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination strpically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with 5.10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, internal or termend examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with 5.10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? The university adopts a continuous internal evaluation system? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are also involved to ensure objectivy, and academic rigor. Entouragingly, there have been no reports of malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, the distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examination carries 70 marks.			
5.9 Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with malpractice to date. 5.10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three archivement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examination story topically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
5.9 Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with with the malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Section 10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomy; Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			experts are also involved to ensure
5.9 Mention the number of malpractice cases reported during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with with the malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Section 10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomy; Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			objectivity and academic rigor.
during the last 3 years and how they are dealt with malpractice to date. Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-	5.9	Mention the number of malpractice cases reported	
Further, to deal with the malpractices the university has a clear policy. Annexure -10 Annexure -10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomys: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
5.10 Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or terme-nd examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomys: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations, short-		and the same of the and the same they are deale with	
Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloomys Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations, short-			ruther, to dear with the maipractices the
Does the University have a continuous internal evaluation system? Yes, the University adopts a continuous internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
evaluation system? internal evaluation framework grounded in the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-	F 10	Describe Heller in I	Annexure -10
the concept of course marks, credits, and grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-	5.10		
grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-		evaluation system?	internal evaluation framework grounded in
grades. The assessment of a student's performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			the concept of course marks, credits, and
performance in each course may involve home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
home and tutorial assignments, laboratory experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
experiments, project submissions, group assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
assignments, internal or term-end examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
Examinations, viva-voce assessments, and other relevant evaluation methods. The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy. Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations, short-			
5.12 How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			examinations, viva-voce assessments, and
How are the question papers set to ensure the achievement of the course objectives? The question papers are divided into three parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			other relevant evaluation methods.
achievement of the course objectives? parts based on revised Bloom;s Taxonomy: Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-	5.12	How are the question papers set to ensure the	
Part A focuses on understanding conceptual knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
knowledge, Part B assesses analytical capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-		demotion of the course objectives.	Parts based on revised bloom, s raxonomy.
capabilities, and Part C evaluates the application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
application of knowledge, ensuring learning outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			capabilities, and Part C evaluates the
outcomes align with course objective. The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			application of knowledge, ensuring learning
The paper setters receive guidelines prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			outcomes ungil with course objective.
prepared by the faculty, which stipulate a judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			The paper cetters receive guidelines
judicious balance of analytical and subjective questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
questions. Additionally, they are instructed to ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			judicious balance of analytical and subjective
ensure that the question papers provide nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-		8 f - 8	
nearly 100% coverage of the course curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
curriculum, with equitable emphasis on sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			nearly 100% coverage of the course
sections that share thematic unity. The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
The internal examination carries 30 marks, while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			sections that share thematic unity.
while the term-end examination carries 70 marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			The internal evamination comics 20 months
marks. The distribution of marks for each question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
question is designed to reflect its type and level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			marks. The distribution of marks for each
level of difficulty. The examinations typically consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
consist of multiple-choice questions, short-			
answer questions, and long-answer			
			answer questions, and long-answer

Page 10 of 21

questions. State the policy of the University for the 5.13 The Heads of Departments (HODs) are constitution of board of question paper setters, entrusted with the responsibility of assigning subject faculty members for paper setting. board of examiners and invigilators. The paper setters, examiners, moderators are required to adhere to all instructions issued by the Examination Committee from time to time, on the pattern of question papers, their preparation, model answers, marking schemes, and related matters. The list of invigilators is prepared by the Examination Committee, chaired by the Controller of Examinations, and subsequently approved by the Vice-Chancellor. This list comprises all faculty members working under the University. All designated invigilators are informed of their duties well in advance, and confirmation of acceptance is obtained. Examination duties are assigned on a rotational basis, taking into consideration the course, subject, number of invigilators required as per the examination blocks, and the availability of faculty members at the given time. Policy attached. Annexure - 11 How regular and time-bound are conduct of 5.14 examinations and announcement of results? Date of Academic Last Date of Substantiate with details of dates of examinations announcement Year examinations and announcement of results for the last 3 years. of results Details to be provided in the following format: -2022-23 10.2.2023 01.02.2023 (Odd) 2022-23 04.07.2023 05.08.2023 (even) 2023-24 03.02.2024 14.03.2024 (Odd) 2023-24 22.06.2024 25.07.2024 (even) 2024-25 31.01.2025 05.03.2025 (Odd) 2024-25 28.06.2025 19.07.2025

D. Admission Process

6.1 How are students selected for admission to various courses? Please provide faculty-wise information

- a. Through special entrance tests
- b. Through interviews
- c. Through their academic record
- d. Through a combination of the above

Please also provide details about the weight age given to the above

The university admitted new students based on their previous academic records and ensure that they met the current course admission criteria.

(even)

For Doctoral Programmes, the University conducts an entrance examination followed by an interview. The written examination carries a weightage of 70%, while the interview accounts for 30%.

age 11 of 21

6.2	national le test? If yes,	vel entranc please prov	ty is admittine e test or sta ide following	te leve	I entrance	
	Name of t National State leve entrance exam	/ stude el admit	nts stud	ents the	Remarks	NOT ANY
6.3			rocedure is in the prospe		le on the	Yes
6.4	Please pro		of the eligi		criteria for	Admission eligibility is available in the University admission Prospectus, University website as well as in Fee structure. Copy attached.
6.5	relaxation	Jniversity i in admission wing format	s providing n? If yes, plea	any re se prov	eservation/ ride details	Annexure – 12 The University adheres to the relevant rules and regulations of the Central and/or State Government, as applicable.
	Categor y	No. of students admitted	% of quota provided for reservation preparation respect of a enrolment	or n and n in	Remarks	Currently, approximately 90% of the students, in the University belong to the Scheduled Tribes (the ST) category.
6.6	admission i		ent quota is a rsity. If yes, plo format: -			
	Total no. of seats (Course- wise)	No. of students admitted	No. of students admitted under Managemen t quota	admi	r Manageme	NOT ANY
6.7			oolicy of the U seas students?		ty with	As of now, no NRI or overseas student has sought admission to the University.

E Fee Structure

7.1	Present Course-wise fee structure of the University (Please provide head-wise details of total fee charged)	Course wise fee structure is attached.
		Same as Annexure - 12
7.2	Any other fee charged by the University other than the fee displayed in the UGC website (e. g. Building Fee, Development Fee, Fee by any name, etc.)	No any other fee charged other then the fee displayed.
7.3	Whether fee structure is available on the University website and in the prospectus?	Yes
7.4	Whether fee is charged by the University as per fee structure displayed in the University website and in the prospects or some hidden charges are there?	The fee is charged as per the fee structure provided in the Prospectus and website, and there are no hidden charges.
7.5	Mode of Fee collection	Cash/DD/Cheque/online
7.6	Whether University is providing any concessions in fee to students? If yes, please provide details.	Yes, the University provides concession in fees in the form of scholarships to meritorious students, sportspersons, 1/4, economically

Page 12 of 21

		disadvantaged students, and the wards of its employees, freedom fighters, and defence personnel.
		Same as Annexure -6
7.7	Details of the Hostel Fee including mess charges	NOT ANY
7.8	Any other fee	NOT ANY
7.9	Basis of Fee Structure	The fee structure followed by the University is by the guidelines prescribed by the Higher and Technical Education Department, Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
7.10	Whether the University has received any complaint with regard to fee charged or fee structure? If yes please give details about the action taken.	NOT ANY As yet, no complaints have been received.
7.11	Whether University is providing any scholarship to students? If yes, please provide details.	Yes, the University provides scholarships in the form of fee concessions to meritorious students, sportspersons, economically disadvantaged students, and the wards of its employees, freedom fighters, and defense personnel. Further, all the (APST) students are eligible for taking Arunachal Pradesh State Stipend, and Central Scholarship Schemes.
		Same as Annexure -6

F. Faculty

8.1	Total no. of sanctioned and filled up posts (Institution- wise and	Dept.	Profe	ssor	Assoc Profe			stant
	Department- wise)		Sanctioned	Filled	Sanctioned	Filled	Sanctioned	Filled
		-	wise sanctio			Adl X		ure -13
9-840- PEOI								
8.2	Details of teaching staff Department-wise) (Details to be provided i			at (Pleas	se provided (details –	Institution	- wise and

B.3 Category- wise				
No. of Teaching Staff	Category	Female	Male	Total
	SC	1	2	3
	ST	53	56	109
	OBC	9	11	20
	PH	0	0	0
	General	MNA158	34	62

		Total	91	103	194	
8.4	Details of the perm	anent and temporary fac	culty member in th	e following for	mat.	

Particulars	Female	Male	Total
Total No. of permanent teachers	91	103	194
No. of teachers with Ph.D. as the highest qualification	22	58	80
No. of teachers with M. Phil as the highest qualification	0	2	2
No. of teachers with PG as the highest qualification	68	44	112
No. of teachers with UG as the highest qualification	0	0	0
Total No. of temporary teachers			
No. of teachers with Ph.D. as the highest qualification	NA	NA	0
No. of teachers with M. Phil as the highest qualification	NA	NA	0
No. of teachers with PG as the highest qualification	NA	NA	0
Total No. of part-time teachers			
No. of teachers with Ph.D. as the highest qualification	NA	NA	0
No. of teachers with M. Phil as the highest qualification	NA	NA	0
No. of teachers with PG as the highest qualification	NA	NA	0
Total No. of Visiting teachers	NA	NA	0

8.5	Ratio of full-time teachers to part-time/ contract teachers	Ration of full time to part time 1.0:0.00 (all full time)	
8.6	Process of recruitment of faculty – Whether advertised? (Pl. attach copy of the ad) – Whether selection committee was constituted as per the UGC Regulation?	The recruitment of faculty is advertisements published in ron job portals, and displayed website. Link of recent advebelow.	newspapers, posted on the University's
		Web link - https://www.himalayanunivers	sity.com/career.php
		Faculty plus https://www.facultyplus.com/luniversity-itanagar-wanted-teateaching-staff/#google vignette	ching-and-non-
s		Naukri.com https://www.naukri.com/hima jobs-careers-5360060	layan-university-
8.7	Does the University follow self-appraisal method to evaluate teachers on teaching, research and work satisfaction? If yes, how is the self- appraisal of teachers analysed and used?	YES	
	Whether: - Self appraisal Evaluation peer review student's evaluation others (Specify)	Formulated scheme of Self Apprais No No Yes, reviewed by the Head of the Dean concerned.	
0.0			Annexure - 14
8.8	Institution-wise and Department-wise teacher student ratio (only full-time faculty)	Faculty of Arts & Social Science	1:11
		Faculty of Law	1:14
		Faculty of Education	1:09

Page 14 of 21

		Faculty of Science	1:03
		Faculty of Engineering & Applied Science	1:05
		Faculty of Agriculture	1:06
		Faculty of Commerce and Management	1:08
		Faculty of Pharmacy & Paramedical Science	1:06
8.9	Whether the University is providing UGC pay scales to the permanent faculty? If yes, please provide the following details: Scale of pay with all the allowances Professor- Associate Prof Assistant Prof Mode of payment- (Cash/Cheque)	The University provides the Upermanent faculty. However teachers are granted under the Professor – 37400-67000 AGP-Associate Prof. – 37400-67000 ASSISTANT Prof. – 15600-39100 ASSISTANT	r, allowances for University's policy. 10000 AGP-9000 AGP-6000
8.10	Pay/ Remuneration provided to: - Part-time faculty- Temporary faculty- Guest faculty-	As per the University policy Professor - Rs. 1000/- per Hour Asco. Professor - Rs. 600/- per H Asst. Professor - Rs. 400/- per H	Hour
8.11	Facilities for teaching staff (Please provide details about Residence, Rooms, Cubicals, Computers/Any other	Faculty members are provaccommodation and independing fully equipped with a desk, cand internet connectivity throwi-Fi. Library facilities, cafeter complimentary bus facilities, co (photocopying and printing) facilities.	rided with hired ndent workspaces, omputer or laptop, ugh both LAN and ria, Sports facilities, mmon reprography

G. Infrastructure

Yes, the University has provided sufficient land and infrastructure to accommodate the current strength of students across various programmes. Additional construction to meet future requirements is currently in progress. Land Area: 19.034 acres Copy of the Land Possession Certificates is attached. Annexure-15	Does the University have sufficient space for Land & Building?	9.1
Yes, the University has adequate facilities to meet current requirements, including classrooms, laboratories, faculty cabins, meeting rooms, and other essential spaces. Total Built-up Area: 162,681 sq. ft. A copy of the building maps is enclosed.	Does the University have sufficient class rooms?	9.2
Annexure-16		
Appendix XIV and Appendix –XV	Laboratories & Equipment (Details to be provided in Appendix-XIV and Appendix-XV)	9.3
JAN	Item Description (make and model)	a)

Page 15 of 21

b)	Location (Department)	Labs Blocks/workshop		
c)	Value (Rs.)			
d)	Present Condition	Working Condition		
e)	Date of Purchase	2013/14/15/16/17/18/19		
9.4	Library			
a)	Total Space (all kinds)	2512 Sq. Ft.		
b)	Computer / Communication facilities	25		
c)	Total no. of Ref. Books (Each Department)	19850		
d)	All Research Journals subscribed on a regular basis	21		
9.5	Sports Facilities (Details to provided in Appendix-XVI)	Yes		
a)				
b)	Track for Athletics	Yes		
c)	Basketball courts	Yes		
d)	Squash / Tennis Courts	No		
e)	Swimming Pool (Size)	No		
Ŋ	Indoor Sport Facilities including Gymnasium	Carom board, Chess		
g)	Any other			
9.6	Does the University have provision for residential Accommodation including hostels (boys & girls separately	The University is in the process of developing residential accommodation facilities, including separate hostels for boys and girls		

H. Financial Viability

10.1	Details of the Corpus Fund	The Co	C d	+ D- 2 00 00 000	/ (D m)	
10.1	Details of the Corpus Fund Created by the University The Corpus fund amount Rs. 3,00,00,000/ (Rupees Three Crore only)					
	Amount- FDR No. Date- Period- (Documentary evidence to be given)	Rs. 1,0 Rs. 1,2	0,00,000/- FI	PR No. 914040021759 DR No. 91404000839 DR No. U3110288083 ttached.	6309	
10.2	Financial position of the University (please provide	S. No.	Year	Income	Expenditure	
	audited income and expenditure	1	2021-22	22,98,61,998/-	22,39,47,840/-	
	statement for the last 3 years)	2	2022-23	16,32,47,119/-	15,62,21,351/-	
		3	2023-24	14,50,03,109/-	14,13,30,518/-	
					Annexure - 1	
10.3	0.3 Source of finance and quantum of funds available for running the University (for last audited year)					
	the University (for last audited					
	the University (for last audited	Rs. 10,	,35,65,082/-			
	the University (for last audited year)		,35,65,082/- 63,00,000/-			
	the University (for last audited year) Fees- Donations- Loan-					
	the University (for last audited year) Fees- Donations- Loan- Interest-	Rs. 1, NIL Rs. 2,5				
	the University (for last audited year) Fees- Donations- Loan- Interest- Any other (Pl. Specify)	Rs. 1, NIL	63,00,000/-			
10.4	the University (for last audited year) Fees- Donations- Loan- Interest-	Rs. 1, NIL Rs. 2,5	63,00,000/-			

Rage **16** of **21**

Unit cost calculated excluding the salary component may also be given

Unit Cost excluding the salary component Rs

I. Governance System

11. Organization, Governance and Management

	11. Organization, Governance	
11.1	Composition of the statutory bodies of the University (please give names, profession & full postal address of the members and date of constitution): -	Details of the statutory bodies are given in
	Executive Council Board of Management Academic Council Finance Committee	
	Board of Studies Others (Details to be provided in Appendix-XVII)	Appendix. XVII
11.2	Dates of the meetings of the above bodies held during the last 2 years (Enclose attested copy of the minutes of the meetings)	Minutes of the meetings of the following Bodies are attached: 1. Board of Management 2. Academic Council 3. Finance Committee 5. Research Council 4. Board of Studies
11.3	What percentage of the members of the Boards of Studies, or such other academic committees, are external? Enclose the guidelines for BOS or such other Committees.	Annexure – 19 At present, 20% of the members in the Boards of Studies (BOS) of various faculties are external members. Although the First Statutes of the University are yet to be approved and published in the Gazette of the State Government of Arunachal Pradesh—and the composition and other guidelines for standard practices are subject to such approval—the University has constituted the Boards of Studies for various departments with the approval of the Vice-Chancellor. The composition of the Board of Studies is as follows: Head of the Department – Chairperson One Professor nominated by the Vice-Chancellor by rotation, in order of seniority One Teacher of the Department, not below the rank of Associate Professor, nominated by the Vice-Chancellor Two Experts, not in the service of the University, to be co-opted by the Board of Studies on the recommendation of the Head of the Department
11.4	Are there other strategies to review academic programmes besides the academic council? If yes, give details about what, when and how often are such reviews made?	To incorporate new developments, respective faculties are encouraged to initiate changes through BOS for decision by Academic Council.

J. Research Profile



Faculty-wise and Department-wise information to be provided in respect of the following: -	
 Student Teacher Ratio Class Rooms Teaching labs Research labs (Major Equipments) Research Scholars (M. Tech, Ph.D., Post Doctoral Scholars) Publications in last 3 years (Year-wise list) No. of Books Published Parents Transfer of Technology Inter-departmental Research (Inter-disciplinary) Consultancy Externally funded Research Projects Educational Programmes Arranged 	Faculty-wise and department-wise required information attached. Annexure - 20

K. Misc.

13. Details of Non-Teaching Staff

13.1 Details of Non-Teaching Staff

Name	Designation	Age	Qualification	Scale of Pay	Date of Appointment	Trained Yes/No if yes, Details

(Details to be provided in ${\bf Appendix-XVIII)}$

13.2	Summary of the Non-					
Teaching Staff		Particulars		Female	Male	Total
		Administrative Staff				
		Group A		00	05	05
		Group B	1	00	05	05
		Group C		12	13	25
		Group D		22	31	53
		Sub Total		34	54	88
		Technical Staff				
		Group A		00	00	00
		Group B		00	04	04
		Group C		12	13	25
		Group D	00	05	05	
		Sub Total		12	06	34
13.3	No. of Non-teaching staff					
	category-wise	Category	Femal	e N	lale	Total
		SC	00		03	03
		ST 2		26		59
		OBC	06		07	13
		PH	00		00	00
		General	01			13
		Total	33		55	88
13.4	Ratio of Non-teaching staff to students	17.5		·····	- Harrison - Language	engine New against access
13.5	Ratio of Non-teaching staff	2.2				

14. Academic Results

14.1	Faculty-wis	e and course-wi	The academic result of past 3		
	S. No.	Course	No. of Candidates appeared	Result	years is attached.
					Annexure - 21

15. Accreditation

15.1	Whether accredited by NAAC? If yes, please provide the following details:					NOT ANY
	Date of Accreditation Period Grade CGPA Grading System Followed					
15.2	Whether courses are accredited by NBA? If yes, please provide course-wise details as under: -					NOT ANY
	S. No.	Course	Whether Accredited	Period of Accreditation		
15.3	Other	Accredita	tions. If any			
15.4	Other Accreditations. If any Any other information (Including special achievements by the University which may be relevant for the University)				The mom 1. 2. 3. 4. 6.	University has honored with nentous award such as — IIRF 2025 Himalayan University has been awarded as Outstanding Contribution to Community Services during Education Fair 2023 held at Jabalpur supported by BYJU's and Bansal News Media. the University ranked Number One Private University in Arunachal Pradesh in 11th Dialogue India National Higher Education Ranking. Outstanding Contribution for Student's Growth. This prestigious award was given to the University at Education Excellence Conclave held on 18-19 June 2021, supported by the Ministry of Ayush. Emerging University in India" by Elets World Education Summit — Higher Education Award 2021. Emerging Higher Education Institutions in India". This illustrious award was given to Himalayan

Page 19 of 21

AGAR (A.

- on 28 November 2020. Tourism Finance Corporation of India Ltd along with Business World conferred the award.
- 7. Excellent Progressive University (North-East)" during the mega educational event of the '12thWorld Education Summit 2018', which was held in Delhi from 9th-10th August 2018, organized by internationally famous institution eLetsTechnomedia Pvt. Ltd.
- 8. One Among Top Five Best Private Universities in East and North-East India" from DIALOGUE INDIA in Delhi, during its 6th Academia Awards ceremony.
- 9. On 20th May 2017, booming Himalayan University (Itanagar) received an affluent Certificate of Excellence for attaining the "1st Rank Among Private Universities in Arunachal Pradesh" from DIALOGUE INDIA.
- One of the Top 10 Emerging Universities in India" (Ranked 7th; Specialization - General) by an expert panelconstituted by a prestigious magazine 'HIGHER EDUCATION REVIEW' (printed & published in Bangalore).
- 11. University of the Year in East India during the grand ceremony of the 'National Education Excellence Award 2017', held on 21st January 2017 in Goa.
- 12. University of the Year East" on 26th May 2016, granted by FRANCHISE INDIA.
- 13. Leading University of the Year (North East India)" under Brands Achiever Awards 2015; held on 10oct 2015
- 14. National Education Excellence Award 2015" of ASSOCHAM on April 18, 2015, for being the Best University in Rural Area.
- Best university of the year (East)" in excellence in education under 4th Annual India Education Award 2014 by Franchise India.
- Best Start Up University" by Digital Learning under World Education Summit 2014.
- 17. e-Campus Award For Higher Education" under W. South Asia

Page 20 of 21

16. Strength and Weaknesses of University

16.1	Strength of the University	i.	Situated in the capital city of Arunachal Pradesh, the University benefits from strategic location and enhanced connectivity.
		ii.	The Statutory Boards and Councils are comprised of eminent, highly experienced, and actively engaged members, contributing to robust governance.
	1.5	iii.	The campus offers modern, well-equipped infrastructure and facilities that support both academic and extracurricular pursuits.
		iv.	Academic programmes are well-established, with a strong emphasis on research, innovation, and continuous improvement.
7		v.	The University embraces international best practices in teaching and learning, fostering a global perspective among students and faculty.
		vi.	Faculty and administrative staff are committed, enthusiastic, and dedicated to delivering excellence in education and support services.
		vii.	The institution encompasses a diverse array of academic disciplines, promoting a culture of unity in diversity across its community
	Weaknesses of the University	i.	Recruitment and retention of highly qualified faculty in niche fields remain a challenge, particularly due to the region's geographic location.
		ii.	Campus facilities for residential accommodation, including hostels for students, are still under development to meet growing demands.
		iii.	The alumni network and industry linkages are in the early stages and require further strengthening.
		iv.	Student diversity from outside the region, including international and NRI students, needs to be enhanced.

Certificate

This is to certify that all the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. The University will adhere to the rules, regulations and guidelines of the UGC, Central Government and relevant Statutory Council(s) and abide by the provisions under the UGC Regulation.

The above information is also posted on the website of the University www. Himalayanuniversity.com

Prof. Dr. Prakash Divakaran

Vice Chancellor Himalayan University

Email: Vice-chancellor@himalayanunivers

Mob: +91 9545553130